Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/595,824	MATSCO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Suzanne E. McDowell	1732
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Suzanne E. McDowell</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Eric Sosenko</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 March 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: .	cant's representative)	
Part I.		: :
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Claims 7-12  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN Attorney Sosenko agreed that claims 7-12, nonelected with tra	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT Was verse, could be canceled.	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sumn</li> </ul>	te record of the substance of the	ne interview, since the interview
	_	
·		
		O'
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		